

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –34)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 637 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court
Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-34 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA SOUTHERN			
ALS	2	18-00225	Dallas County, Alabama v. Actavis, LLC et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	18-02803	County of Santa Cruz, California v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	1	18-21920	The City of Miami v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	4	18-00081	TOWN OF BROWNSTOWN, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
INS	4	18-00082	CITY OF SEYMOUR, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	2	18-00019	Dare County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NCE	4	18-00090	Craven County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NCE	7	18-00081	City of Wilmington v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE			
NCM	1	18-00416	CITY OF WINSTON-SALEM v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
OHIO SOUTHERN			

OHS	2	18-00466	Knox County Board of County Commissioners v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
OHS	3	18-00164	Shelby County Board of County Commissioners v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS	1	18-00930	The City of Bluefield, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	---